



Inclusive Green Growth Guiding Tool for **MEDIUM AND LARGE-SCALE PRODUCERS**









TALE OF CONTENTS

SECTION ONE: INTRODUCTION	4
Purpose	4
Context and Relevance	4
Structure	5
Stakeholders' Engagement	5
SECTION TWO: IMPLEMENTATION	6
Review of the IGG Tool	6
SECTION THREE: CHARACTERISTICS OF MEDIUM AND LARGE-SCALE PRODUCERS	7
Medium Scale Producers	7
Large Scale Producers	7
Requirements for Medium and Large Scale Producers	8
Partner Details	14
General Information	14
How To Rate an Investment Using the Tool	15
Scoring Reference:	15
SECTION FOUR: ASSESSMENT GUIDING QUESTIONS	16
Inclusivity and Social Sustainability Principle (30 points)	17
Labour and Employment Policy	17
Gender Equality and Non-Discrimination	18
Social Linkage and Conflict Management	19
Support for Small-scale Producers/Outgrowers Contracted (Engaged) by the Investment	21
Land Tenure	22
Shared Value Investments and Support for Local Communities	24
Business Sustainability Principle (30 points)	25
Good Governance	24
Sustainability Commitment and Culture	26
Operational Procedures	27
Compliance & Certification	28
Occupational Health and Safety	28
Environmental Management Principle (40 points)	29
Knowledge and skills on Environmental Management	29
Environmental Management Action	
Safe use of Chemicals - Agro and Industrial	31
Waste Management	
Conservation of water Resources and Sources	32

Pollution Control - Water, Air, Soil and Noise	33
Adoption and Application of Environmentally-Friendly Technologies	
Climate Adaptation and Mitigation	36
Nature-Based Solutions	37
Input Suppliers	39
ANNEX: Forms and Scoring	40
1. Comments	40
2. Scoring of the Assessment	41
3. Form to be Filled in for Annual Submission to SAGCOT Centre	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACRP	Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan
AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
CSA	Climate Smart Agriculture
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMA	Environmental Management Act
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GRG	Green Reference Group
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
IGG	Inclusive Green Growth
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
NAPA	National Adaptation Programme of Action
NSGRP	National Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Authority
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
SAGCOT	Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania
SCL	SAGCOT Centre Limited
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SE4ALL	Sustainable Energy for All
TBS	Tanzania Bureau of Standards
TMDA	Tanzania Medicines and Medical Devices Authority
TPHPA	Tanzania Plant Health and Pesticides Authority
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
WWF	World Wide Fund for Nature

SECTION ONE

Introduction

The Inclusive Green Growth (IGG) tool has been developed as part of the Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania (SAGCOT) initiative, designed to help SAGCOT's existing and potential investors to better understand, comply with, and adhere to Tanzania national laws and regulation as well as to improve implementation more broadly of sustainable economic, environmental, and social practices. SAGCOT is a public-private partnership initiative with the objective of transforming agriculture in Tanzania's Southern corridor to enhance food security and ensure improved livelihoods for smallholder farmers and communities in a sustainable manner. IGG is a central operating principle of the SAGCOT Initiative as laid out in SAGCOT's Greenprint and within the responsibilities and commitments of its key partnership principles.

Purpose

This document sets out the Inclusive Green Growth (IGG) tool component requirements for *Medium and Large-Scale Producers* of the SAGCOT partnership.

Context and Relevance

The IGG tool aims to galvanize economic growth, environmental sustainability and social inclusiveness through commercial agricultural activity, supporting SAGCOT's strategic objectives and vision. This tool has been formulated with the view to promote responsible investment in a sustainable manner that contributes to food security, supports local communities, reduces conflict, build resilience against climate change, and strengthen networks to address system-level challenges. It is primarily meant to be used as a self-assessment tool.

To ensure consistency and synergies with other processes, the IGG tool is tailored to contribute to the realization of the goals set out under relevant International and national policies, strategies and other development frameworks that include: Tanzania Development Vision 2025; Five Year Development Plan (2021/22-2025/26); National Agriculture Policy (2013); National Investment Promotion Policy (1996); National Environmental Policy (2021); Climate Change Strategy (2021-2026); Nationally Determined Contributions 2021; and Climate Smart Agriculture Guideline (2017).

At the international level, the IGG tool takes into consideration key development frameworks such as United Nations Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs); United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC); Multilateral Environmental Agreements; and Africa Union Agenda 2063 (The Africa We Want).

Structure

The IGG tool is comprised of two components: (1) a main section consisting of a checklist that assesses legal requirement compliance and good practice alignment, and (2) a detailed annex of specific regulatory requirements by institution along with processing times, costs, validity, required documents to be submitted, penalties for non-conformity, and agency contact details.

The main section is divided into three key thematic areas, namely inclusivity and social sustainability, sustainable business, and environmental sustainability. Each thematic area contains a number of compliance and good practice categories, each which contain, first, a series of questions for users to reflect upon and evidence, and second, a scoring table with detailed conditions. Each user should reflect on their individual situation to select the appropriate scoring category and justify and evidence why they believe they fall within that scoring category.

Stakeholders' Engagement

The Inclusive Green Growth (IGG) tool has been developed and revised by SAGCOT partners through a participatory process. Key participants have included technical partners from relevant Government Ministries, Departments and Agencies, The Nature Conservancy (TNC), World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), and CARE International in Tanzania; small, medium and large-scale producers as well as agro-processors. The SAGCOT Green Reference Group (GRG) co-chaired by the Vice President's Office and Private Sector has been instrumental in this process, both at the national and cluster levels. Multiple initial versions were revised and rolled out with SAGCOT partners as well as selected outside companies to increase inclusivity and raise awareness. A multi stakeholder IGG Task Force was formed to support awareness raising, initial completion of the IGG tool with investors, and initial recommendations for improving sustainability practice.

Revision of the IGG tool for the current version was also a participatory process that involved feedback and comments from users, the technical partners who supported development of the previous version as well as other stakeholders. The Green Reference Groups at the cluster level spearheaded feedback into this process. Current and past users were interviewed on their use of the tool to better understand what aspects worked well and what needed improvement. A small selected group of users were selected to test the revised versions. A summary of their feedback to the previous version can be found in the Annex E of the updated Greenprint 2023.

SECTION TWO

Implementation

The checklist for the guiding tool has been developed in conjunction with an Excel-based version to facilitate the assessment process. Targeting existing investors, the tool enables users to evaluate their own practices.

- Assessments SAGCOT partners are expected to conduct annual self-assessments using the checklist, to develop IGG workplans, and to compare annual results against their IGG workplans.
- Reporting Partners are required to submit their annual assessment reports to SAGCOT by the 31st of December each year
- SAGCOT will review partner reports, looking over evidence and justification, both to confirm appropriate scoring and to identify priorities for support and improvement. Visits may be conducted with partners for more in-depth discussion and assessment.
- SAGCOT will compile a summary IGG assessment report (based on received reports) to be shared with partners. No individual partner report or related information will be shared to a third party by SAGCOT without prior consent of the partner. When a synthesized assessment report is needed for public use, SAGCOT communication policy will be applied without infringing individual partner's rights to confidentiality.

Review of the IGG tool

- The IGG tool will be regularly revised and that revision should involve key stakeholders
- SAGCOT Centre Ltd, or a representative of SAGCOT Centre Ltd., will collate ideas for revision of the tool from existing users, partners, assessment reports and other interested stakeholders.
- The tool will be revised based on stakeholders' input.
- The revised version of the tool will be tested among users, and a representative group of key stakeholders will review and provide comments.
- A revised version will then be circulated to GRG members for feedback for development of a final draft.

SECTION THREE

Characteristics of Medium and Large-Scale Producers

Medium Scale Producers

Medium scale producer organizations operate generally with the following key characteristics:

- In most cases they use hired labour
- Capital investments from TZS 50 Million to TZS 1 Billion
- Moderately mechanized technology
- Moderate production capacity
- Farm size from 10 to 100 hectares

Large Scale Producers

An agricultural producer organization operating in Tanzania with the following key characteristics:

- Highly mechanized farm operations;
- Farm size of more than 100 hectares;
- High dependence on hired labour;
- Capital investment more than TZS 1 Billion

Requirements for Medium and Large Scale Producers

Inclusivity Principle	
Core Requirements	Applicable laws
Equal Opportunity and Non-Dis- crimination: Producers shall be	 The Non-Citizens Employment Regulations Act No. 1 (2015)
non-discriminatory and shall oper- ate in line with Tanzania's labour laws and other non-discriminatory	 The Business Laws (Miscellaneous Amendments) Act, No. 3 (2012)
laws.	 Tanzania Employment and Labour Relations Act No. 6 of 4 June 2004 and revised in 2018.
	 Guidelines for Services for People with Disabilities (in Kiswahili) of 2004
	- Law of Persons with Disabilities Act (2010)
	- Employment Guidelines (in Kiswahili) (2011)
	- HIV/AIDS Act, 2008
	- National Strategy for Gender Equality of 2005
	 National Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty 2005-2010 and 2010-2015 (NSGRP I and II)
Labour and Employment Laws: Producers shall adhere to the em- ployment policies of Tanzania.	 Guidelines on Auditing of Human Resources (in Kiswahili) (2009)
	- Employment Guidelines (in Kiswahili) (2011)
	 Tanzania Employment and Labour Relations Act No. 6 of 2004
<u>Child Labour:</u> Producers shall	- National Employment Policy & Labour Laws
refrain from the use of child or forced labour	- Law of the Child Act No. 21, 2009
Social Security Statutory Re-	- The National Social Security Fund Act, 1997
<i>quirements:</i> Producer should comply statutory payment to the government_	 Workers Compensation Act [Cap.263 Revised Edition of 2015]
<u>Investment Linkages:</u> Producers shall explore linkages that will	- The Law of Contract, 2002
enable growth of out-growers	- SAGCOT Partnership Principles
Market Channels: Producers shall explore market channels that will enable out-growers to access sustainable markets.	 National Economic Empowerment Council Act, No. 16 of 2004

Support to Small Producers:	- Law of Contract, 2002.
Producers shall endeavor to	- SAGCOT IGG Principles
provide technical support to out-growers.	- SAGCOT Partnership Principles
Producers shall facilitate access	- Financial leasing Act, 2008
to financial opportunities for out-growers	- The Banking and Financial Institutions-Act-2006
Producers shall facilitate access to sustainable markets.	- Cooperatives Act, 2009
Shared Value linvestment: Pro- ducers are encouraged to engage in community-driven initiatives to enhance mutual social economic wellbeing.	- SAGCOT IGG Principles
Business Linkage with Local Community: Producers shall foster business linkages with local communities.	 The Public Private Partnership (Amendment) Act, (Cap. 103) (2014)
Capacity Building: Producers shall engage in capacity building of small-scale producers so as to ensure quality value chains.	- SAGCOT IGG Principles
Local Content Guidelines: Producers shall foster good relations with communities and create business linkage with small scale producers as appropriate.	- Local Content Policy, 2015 (Oil and Gas)

Sustainable Business Principle	
Core Requirements	Applicable laws
Good Governance: Producers shall endeavor to demonstrate high level of good governance including transpar- ency, accountability and integrity with stakeholders and partners as appro- priate.	 The Finance Act, 2018 (Tax provisions) The Business Names (Registration) Act, Cap. 213 (2015) National Employment Policy & Labour Laws The Prevention and Combating of Corruption Act, 2007

<u>Legal Status</u> : Producers shall be a legally registered entity in accordance with applicable legislation.	 Tanzania Investment Act 1997 (Amendment) Act 2022. The Business Laws (Miscellaneous Amend- ments) Act, No. 3 (2012). The Business Activities Registration Act, 2017. Companies Act, 2002. CAP 212.
<u>Management Structures</u> Producers shall have effective management struc- tures that are guided by the respective organizations' constitution/memoran- dum and articles of association includ- ing rules, regulations and operational procedures.	 The Business Laws (Miscellaneous Amendments) Act, No. 3 (2012) The Business Names (Registration) Act, Cap. 213 (2015) Company Act cap 212 The Fair Competition (Amendment) Act, 2015
Operational Procedures: Producers shall establish operational procedures that are in line with national regulations and will guide operations of the organization.	 Income Tax Act, 2004 revised 2006. Industrial and Consumer Chemicals (Management and Control) Act, No.3 (2 003) The Employment and Labour Relations Act, No. 6 (2004) Occupational Health and Safety Act No.5 of 2003 The Business Laws (Miscellaneous Amendments) Act, No. 3 (2012) Environmental Management Act, 2004 The Business Activities Registration Act, 2017.
Compliance to Financial Laws and <u>Regulations:</u> Producers shall comply with applicable financial rules and regulations.	 Tanzania Investment Act 1997 (Amendment) Act 2022. The Business Laws (Miscellaneous Amend- ments) Act, No. 3 (2012) The Business Names (Registration) Act, Cap. 213 (2015) The Finance Act, 2018 (Tax provisions)
Certification by Regulatory Au- thorities: Producers shall adhere to requirements of Regulatory Authorities as appropriate.	 The Tanzania Food, Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 2003. OHS Act, 2003. EMA 2004. Standard Act 2009.
Occupational Health and Safety: Producers shall adhere to Occupational Health and Safety Regulatory require- ments.	 OHS Act, 2003 EMA, 2004 and subsequent Regulations Fire and rescue force act 2007 Workers Compensation Act 2015

Environmental Sustainability Principle	
Core Requirements	Applicable laws
<i>Environmental Management Practic- es:</i> Producers shall have environmental management plan and apply it as appropriate.	 National Environmental policy of 2021 EMA (2004) and subsequent regulations Forestry Act, 2002 Water Resources Management Act (2009) Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Village Land Act, No. 4, 1999 Tanzania Investment Act 1997 (Amendment) Act 2022.
Sustainable Agricultural Practices: Pro- ducers apply good agricultural practices to enhance productivity and environ- mental sustainability.	 National Agriculture Policy, 2013 National Irrigation Act, 2013 Fertilizers Regulation, 2011 Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Best Practices Guidelines, 2016. Climate smart Agriculture Guideline, 2017 Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 Wildlife conservation Act, 2009.
Safe use of Agro-Chemicals: Producers are aware and apply appropriate use and management of agrochemicals.	 Fertilizers Regulation, 2011, The Plant Health Act, 2020 The Occupation Health and Safety Act, 2003 Environmental Management Act, 2004;
Waste Management: Producers shall have waste management strategy and plan as appropriate.	 Environmental Management Act, 2004 The Plant Health Act, 2020 Water Resources Management Act, 2009 Local bylaws

Pollution Control (Water, Air, Soil and Noise): Producers shall take appropriate measures to minimize risks for environmental pollution.Environmental Management Act, 2004Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions:-National Climate Smart Agriculture guideline, 2017.These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; and Use of reliable weather informationNater Resources Management Act, 2004-Water Resources Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulationsForestry Act, 2002Water Resources Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulationsNational Climate Smart Agriculture difference system management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management informationNater Resources Management Act, 2009Water Resources Management Act (2009) <th>Conservation of water Resources and Sources: Producers shall endeavor to conserve water sources and water resources including the use of efficient technologies and adopting best prac- tices</th> <th> Environmental Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations, Water Resources Management Act, 2009 Local by-laws National Irrigation Act, 2013 Climate Smart Agriculture Guideline 2017. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan </th>	Conservation of water Resources and Sources: Producers shall endeavor to conserve water sources and water resources including the use of efficient technologies and adopting best prac- tices	 Environmental Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations, Water Resources Management Act, 2009 Local by-laws National Irrigation Act, 2013 Climate Smart Agriculture Guideline 2017. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan
Noise): Producers shall take appropriate measures to minimize risks for environmental pollution Climate smart agriculture guideline, 2017. - National Water Policy, 2002 - Water Resources Management Act, 2009. - Pesticide Control Regulations 1984.Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions:- National Climate Smart Agriculture guideline 2017. - Tanzania Plant Health and Pesticides Authority (TPHPA) Act No. 04, 2020.These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- 		
priate measures to minimize risks for environmental pollution Climate smart agriculture guideline, 2017. National Water Policy, 2002 Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Pesticide Control Regulations 1984.Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions:- National Climate Smart Agriculture guideline 2017. Tanzania Plant Health and Pesticides Authority (TPHPA) Act No. 04, 2020.These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Juse of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information Kater Resources Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations Water Resources Management Act, 2009. - Forestry Act, 2002. - Local by-laws. - Land Use Planning Act, 2007. - Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 - Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014		- Environmental Management Act, 2004
 National Water Policy, 2002 National Water Policy, 2002 Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Pesticide Control Regulations 1984. Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions: These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Water Resources Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations. Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	priate measures to minimize risks for	- Climate smart agriculture guideline, 2017.
 Pesticide Control Regulations 1984. Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions: These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Koater Resources Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations. Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	environmental pollution.	- National Water Policy, 2002
 Adoption and Application of Tech- nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions: These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012. Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 		- Water Resources Management Act, 2009.
nologies: Adherence to Climate smart agriculture technologies and use of Nature based Solutions:2017.These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Con- servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information.Environmental Management Act, 2004 and subsequent regulations.• Water Resources Management Act, 2009. • Forestry Act, 2002.• Water Resources Management Act (2009).• Local by-laws. • Land Use Planning Act, 2007.• Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012• Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014• Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan		- Pesticide Control Regulations 1984.
 Nature based Solutions: These technologies include: soil health management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Conservation of natural resource or ecosystem management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	nologies: Adherence to Climate smart	
 management practices, Integrated pest and disease management; Conservation of natural resource or ecosystem management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 		
 Servation of natural resource or eco- system management; Use of improved inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Water Resources Management Act, 2009. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	management practices, Integrated	3 ,
 inputs and equipment; and Use of reliable weather information. Forestry Act, 2002. Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	servation of natural resource or eco-	- Water Resources Management Act, 2009.
 Water Resources Management Act (2009). Local by-laws. Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	inputs and equipment; and Use of	- Forestry Act, 2002.
 Land Use Planning Act, 2007. Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 	reliable weather information.	- Water Resources Management Act (2009).
 Agriculture Sector Environmental Action Plan, 2012 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014 		- Local by-laws.
2012 - Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014		- Land Use Planning Act, 2007.
(ACRP), 2014		
- Nationally Determined Contributions 2021 -		
		- Nationally Determined Contributions 2021
		-

Climate Adaptation and Mitigation:	 National Climate Smart Agriculture guideline 2017.
Investment shall adhere to CSA prac- tices.	- Nationally Determined Contributions 2021
. These practices include:	 National Adaptation Programme of Action (NAPA) 2007
Integrated pest and disease man- agement; Conservation of natural resource or ecosystem management; Use of improved inputs and equip- ment; and use of reliable weather information.	 Tanzania Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan (ACRP), 2014

*The listed laws and examples above are just some of available laws, regulations and practices.

Highlights of IGG good practice for the Medium and Large-Scale Producers

<u>Mainstreaming Sustainability:</u> Promote sustainability in business culture to address profits, people, and the planet, managing long-term social and environmental risks as well as reducing negative environmental and social impacts._

Integrating IGG Process into Business: Conduct annual IGG self-assessment, developing an annual IGG workplan with clear and measurable targets for the year to promote continual improvement. Produce annual summarized progress report on implementation of the previous year's workplan and inform SAGCOT.

<u>Vulnerable People and Non-Discrimination</u>: Beyond promotion of employment policies that do not discriminate based on gender or disabilities, practice non-discrimination of other vulnerable groups too, including those disadvantaged who do not yet have legal protection such as persons who are HIV+.

<u>Voluntary Certification</u>: Promote voluntary certification such as organic farming and eco-labelling for environmental sustainability, quality produce and access to premium markets.

Adoption of Technologies: Promote technologies that efficiently use energy, water, and other resources

Capacity Enhancement: Enhance human resources capacity through training programmes

Access to Market: Facilitate access to sustainable market for outgrowers

<u>Smallholder Capacity Building:</u> Enhance technical capacity for out-growers in terms of organizational matters, extension services, technology transfer.

Local Content Policy: Endeavor to promote local content to foster socio-economic development of communities

Insurance Facilities: Subscribe to insurance schemes to cover the enterprise from price fluctuations and disasters

Business Plan: Have a business plan in place and implement it for business sustainability

Partner Details

Organization name	
Investment Type/Ownership	
Area of operation (Region)	
Headquarter	
City/District/Municipality/Town	
Village/Street/Ward/Division	
Name Contact Person 1	
Title	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail address	
Name Contact Person 2	
Title:	
Mobile phone:	
E-Mail address:	

General Information

Num	Question	Response
1	What is the total Number of em- ployees?	
1.1	How many are Male?	
1.2	How many are Female?	
1.3	How many are youth? (defined as "young men and women from the age group of 18 to 35")	
1.4	How many are disabled?	
2	How many seasonal/temporary workers do you hire?	
3	How many of your employees have formal contracts? (Total number)	
3.1	How many Men?	
3.2	How many Women?	
3.3	How many Youth (18-35 years of age)	

4	If you work with outgrowers/sup- pliers, roughly how many do you engage with?	
5	What are your key challenges relat- ed to contract farming?	
6	What is the land ownership status of your investment?	
7	If your organization has a governing Board, how many Board members?	
7.1	How many are male?	
7.2	How many are female?	
7.3	How many are youth? (defined as "young men and women from the age group of 18 and 35")	
8	If you do not have a formal man- agement structure, when will you establish one? Do you need SAGCOT support? Specify	
	1	

How to rate an investment using the tool

- Provide brief justification to explain your scoring.
- If your situation falls between categories, select the category it most corresponds to and explain why you picked that scoring category.

Scoring reference:

Scores range from very poor to exceeding with very poor being the lowest level of achievement in that category while Exceeding is the highest and considered best practice.

- Very Poor. No awareness or actions taken. Requires substantial and urgent improvement action.
- Poor. Minimal action or awareness. Requires improvement action.
- Fair. Limited action or awareness. Minimum conditions. Some improvement should be undertaken, though less urgent.
- **Good.** Generally considered good practice; follows basic standards; while no improvement may be necessary, better practice is often desired. Companies can strive for more in order to become more sustainable and more resilient investments.
- **Exceeding.** Best IGG practice. This is the optimal IGG condition and criteria that is considered most aligned with national and international best practice.

Please indicate the designated score for each criterion in the bracket to show the level of compliance.

SECTION FOUR

Assessment Checklist

Form to be filled in for annual submission to SAGCOT Centre

Disclosure Statement

All information provided to SAGCOT as part of the assessment process will be treated as confidential and shall be used for the intended purposes only.

Consent Form for IGG submission and assessment

Assessments that are shared with SAGCOT with be treated anonymously and confidential data will not be shared. But SAGCOT will contact participating firms for annual updates.

Name of Investment:

Name of responsible person

Position / Title

I have conducted the SAGCOT Inclusive Green Growth tool assessment to the best of my/our ability and knowledge (myself, my investment team). We believe all information provided and statements made to be true. I agree willingly to participate in this assessment, whose objective has been well-explained and understood.

I agree that the information gathered in this assessment may YES NO (please circle) be shared anonymously in summary reports.

I agree that an assigned IGG Assessor on behalf of SAGCOT may visit or discuss further the results of my/our assessment YES NO (please circle)

Signature.....

Date.....

Name and Signature of IGG assessor:

Inclusivity and Social Sustainability Principle (30 points)

Labour and employment Policy

- 1. Does your organization have a clear and effective HR function? And can show evidence that:
 - Staff have clear formal employment contracts
 - Statutory deductions are correctly administered and recorded
 - The investment complies with the Employment and Labour Relations Act (covering annual leave, sick leave, overtime etc.)
 - There are suitable polices in place to prevent child labour
 - There are HR policies in place (including but not limited to leave and overtime, recruitment, pay, performance management)
 - There is senior oversight to the HR function
 - Employees/workers are aware of these policies and employment terms
 - BONUS (+1) organization has a staff retention scheme and succession plan
- 2. HR manager provides regular (at least annual) updates and notifications to staff regarding employment policies and terms (e.g. notifying annual leave entitlement and dates) to maintain awareness.

Provide explanatory notes:

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Does not use formal employ- ment contracts (for the majority of staff) and does not follow any HR policies. Not compliant with national / inter- national labour laws	All staff have employment contracts compli- ant with labour law but policies covering leave, pay, performance and recruitment, child labour are lacking or mainly lacking.	Employment contracts are in place, as well as basic policies covering leave, pay, performance and recruitment and child labour.	All employees have contracts and are aware or their terms. HR function is managed by a member of the senior team). HR policies covering all key areas of HR (including child labour) are in place and followed.	All employees have contracts and are aware or their terms. HR function is managed by a member of the senior team (di- rect report of the CEO). HR poli- cies covering all key areas of HR (including child labour) draw on best practice for the sector and are regularly reviewed and updated. Orga- nization open to feedback and improvement. BONUS +1 Staff retention scheme and succession plan in place.

Gender equality and Non-Discrimination

- 1. Does the investment have a non-discrimination policy, particularly one that addresses prevention of sexual harassment, exploitation, and abuse (i.e., PSHEA policy)?
- 2. What about non-discrimination policy for age, religion or tribe?
- 3. Are the workers aware of the policy?
- 4. Are you an equal opportunity employer? (engagement of women, disadvantaged such as those with HIV-AIDs etc)
- 5. How do you ensure equal employment opportunities?
- 6. Is there a clearly laid-out procedure that ensures that all workers are fairly treated?

7. Is there a clear understanding on sexual harassment among staff/workers in the investment?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No non-discrimi- nation policy; no procedures, nor organizational commitment for fair treatment of employees or regarding sexual harassment	Informal non-discrimina- tion treatment although no policy in place that addresses gender, age, religion, tribe.	Non-discrimina- tion policy that does not address all categories of gender, age, religion, tribe. Procedures may not be clear. Staff/ members may not be fully aware of policy.	Non-discrimina- tion policy that addresses all categories, and workers aware of the policy. Fair treatment pro- cedures in place, though maybe not well known. Focal point exists for gender issues. Understanding on sexual harassment though more training may be needed.	Non-discrimina- tion policy that addresses all categories, and workers aware of the policy. Fair treatment pro- cedures in place, clear and under- stood. Gender and other discrimina- tion focal point(s). Clear understand- ing on sexual harassment with regular awareness raising/trainings on all of the above. Organization has a policy towards ac- tive engagement of vulnerable / disabled com- munity members which is being implemented, including women and people with HIV-AIDs

Resources:

• FAO and the World Bank. 2017. How to integrate gender issues in climate-smart agriculture projects. https://www.fao.org/publications/card/fr/c/45d93533-c024-/

Social linkage and Conflict management

Food systems are complex, and the same action may span environmental, social, and economic impacts with different consequences to different stakeholders at different scales.

- 1. Are there any functional dispute management, conflict resolution, and/or grievance mechanisms in place? Do feedback channels exist for employees and communities?
- 2. Are employees and/or communities aware of the mechanisms and their procedures?
- 3. Have you considered potential impacts of your actions on other stakeholders, sectors, downstream water users, other value chain actors? How?
- 4. How are you communicating with other actors across the value chain and/or landscape? E.g., up and downstream of the value/supply chain and up and downstream in the catchment.
- 5. How do you foster relationships and resolve challenges within your organization and with surrounding communities/other actors?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No dispute management / conflict resolu- tion / grievance / feedback mechanism. No consideration of organization's impact on oth- ers. No engage- ment with other stakeholders unless essential for business.	No dispute management / conflict resolu- tion / grievance mechanism. Pos- sible feedback opportunities exist. Limited awareness. Limited consid- eration of orga- nization's impact on others. Limit- ed engagement with other stake- holders unless directly related to business.	Dispute manage- ment / conflict resolution or grievance mech- anism in place. Feedback op- portunities exist. Some awareness among employ- ees or commu- nities. Irregular communication with other actors across the value chain and/or landscape unless directly related to business. Possible irregular participa- tion in platforms, multi-stakeholder workshops, or similar initiatives on common issues/ challeng- es. Community engagement with some consider- ation of vulnera- ble populations.	Dispute manage- ment / conflict resolution / griev- ance mechanisms in place. Feedback mechanism too. Awareness among employees and communities, though more may be needed to clarify procedures. Consideration of investment impact on others and reg- ular communica- tion with related actors across the value chain and/ or landscape. Regular participa- tion in platforms, multi-stakeholder workshops, or similar initiatives on common issues/ challenges.	Dispute manage- ment / conflict resolution / grievance mech- anisms in place and well-func- tioning. Feedback mechanism too. Good awareness among employees and communities. Consideration of the investment's broader impacts. Regular communi- cation with other actors across the value chain and/ or landscape. Regular active participation in platforms, multi-stakeholder workshops, or similar initiatives on common issues/ challeng- es. Exchanges on trade-off related challenges.

Resources:

- Building capacity of communities to engage with you in this community capacity assessment: https://ripllandesa.s3.us-west-2.amazonaws.com/supporting+materials/IGB+SR+6+-+Community+Capacity+Assessment.docx
- See Grievance Mechanism under Land tenure section too.
- Gender guide to integrating gender into agricultural value chains; developed by USAID https://agrilinks.org/sites/default/files/resource/files/gender_agriculture_value_chain_ guide.pdf
- Gender gender mainstreaming reference guide for agricultural and rural development https://www.jica.go.jp/Resource/english/our_work/thematic_issues/gender/ c8h0vm0000f3jmj6-att/gender_mainstreaming_07.pdf

Support for Small-scale producers/outgrowers contracted (engaged) by the Investment

- 1. Does the investment support out-growers to produce enough for commercial and their own consumption ensuring food security?
- 2. Does the investment actively encourage participation by Women-led outgrowers'/small suppliers?
- 3. Does the investment maintain basic data capturing and monitoring systems to keep track of outgrowers/small suppliers?
- 4. Are clear contracts or production agreements maintained with outgrowers/small suppliers that outline the terms of the arrangement?
- 5. Does the investment provide agricultural input to outgrowers/small suppliers and are the terms (and dispute resolution) processes clear and understood?
- 6. Does the investment provide technical and/or financial extension services to outgrowers/ small suppliers?
- 7. Are clear pricing mechanisms and payment modalities in place?
- 8. BONUS (+1) -- The organization has a local content policy to support local businesses.

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
The Investment purchases from outgrowers but has none of the above controls and processes in place. Meaning that outgrowers are at risk of unfair treatment and poor pricing and payment terms.	Contracts are in place for some but not consistently ap- plied. Pricing is inconsistent and not all outgrow- ers receive a fair price/	Contracts are in place and a fair pricing and payment system is maintained. However, ex- tension services and reasonably provided inputs are lacking. No targeted engage- ment with women outgrowers	vision of exten- sion services and inputs although improvements could be made.	Appropriate outgrowers are selected and the Investment follows a plan to encourage women to participate. Administrative systems are of a very high standard and maintained Terms are un- derstood, prices are transparent. Inputs and exten- sion services are provided by the Investment.

RESOURCES:

- Dealing with outgrowers: This report provides a useful literature review that highlights best practices. <u>https://ripllandesa.s3.us-west-2.amazonaws.com/supporting+materials/</u> <u>IGB+SR+9+-+Outgrower+Best+Practices.pdf</u>
- FAO Guidance Note: Outgrower Best Practices.docx (fao.org)

Land tenure

1. How have you assessed, informed and engaged communities affected by your investment (land users and both formal and customary land right holders)? And have they consented freely to the investment?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Little to no knowledge of land issues and no assessment conducted. Land rights holders have not been informed. Little to no engage- ment of affected communities.	Assessment of land issues partly considered or initiated. May have considered tenure issues of existing land holdings. Land rights holders have not been informed. Some affected commu- nities engaged	Most of assess- ment of land issues complete, but no or limited action plan. Con- sidered tenure issues of existing land holdings. All land rights holders have not been informed. Some affected comm\ unities engaged	Assessment of land issues com- plete with action plan. Considered tenure issues of existing land holdings. All land rights have been identified and informed. Land users considered. Most affected communities engaged.	Assessment of land issues com- plete with action plan that's being implemented and is revisited regularly. Consider tenure issues of past, existing, and pro- spective future land holdings as well as supply chains. All land rights have been identi- fied under formal and customary law, and rights holders have been informed, including vul- nerable people. Communication with affected communities is on-going, well- planned, and multi-channel

RESOURCES:

- This guidebook has tools that help businesses to recognize and protect community land users and land rights. https://ripl.landesa.org/model_guidebooks/1#how_to. It includes this risk assessment and management framework https://www.landesa.org/what-we-do/ landassess-tool/ - that provides a clear and simple set of checklists that generate a report to help agricultural companies assess and manage how they respect land rights.
- General land tenure guidance can be found here: https://www.fao.org/3/i2801e/i2801e.pdf
- Grievance mechanism checklist can be found here: https://ripllandesa.s3.us-west-2. amazonaws.com/supporting+materials/GGB+SR+10+-+Grievance+Mechanism+Checklist. docx

- United Nations Guiding Principles on dispute resolution: Guiding principles on Business and Human Rights. 2011. https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Publications/ GuidingPrinciplesBusinessHR_EN.pdf
- Operational guidance on Free, Prior and Informed Consent (June 2019/May 2020). Accountability Framework: https://accountability-framework.org/fileadmin/uploads/afi/ Documents/Operational_Guidance/OG_FPIC-2020-5.pdf
- Large land-based investments can get general guiding principles here: https://repository. uneca.org/bitstream/handle/10855/22829/b11524510.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Shared value investments and support for local communities

- 1. Do you have any policy/strategy for engaging surrounding local communities? Briefly explain.
- 2. How are vulnerable populations being engaged? Is gender/youth (and/or other vulnerable groups such as pastoralists) representation considered in community engagement? Do members of these groups have the capacity to engage meaningfully?
- 3. Do you have any community driven initiative/project with community? Briefly explain.

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No policy/ strategy for engaging local communities. No or very limited engagement with communities. No projects with communities.	No policy/strat- egy for engaging local communi- ties. Some com- munity engage- ment does take place, but more on an as-needed basis. No special consideration of vulnerable populations.	Policy/strategy for engaging lo- cal communities exists. Commu- nity engagement takes place with very limited consideration of vulnerable popu- lations (e.g., only gender). Possibly engaged with community proj- ect not driven by community.	Clear policy/ strategy for en- gaging surround- ing local commu- nities with active implementation. Multiple vulner- able populations considered in community engagement.	Clear policy/ strategy for engaging sur- rounding local communities that is being implemented and monitored. Vulnerable popu- lations consid- ered in commu- nity engagement. Capacity building of communities and vulnerable populations, as necessary.to ensure effective engagement. Supports com- munity-driven project.

RESOURCES:

- Community consultations: This is a checklist to support productive, meaningful and participatory consultations. https://ripllandesa.s3.us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ supporting+materials/IGB+SR+5+-+Community+Consultation+Checklist.docx.
- To actively engage communities long-term, communities need adequate capacity to engage effectively. https://ripllandesa.s3.us-west-2.amazonaws.com/supporting+materials/ IGB+SR+6+-+Community+Capacity+Assessment.docx
- See grievance mechanism under Land Tenure

Business Sustainability Principle (30 points)

Good governance

- 1. Does the investment have a formal management structure?
- 2. Does the investment have an active governing board, senior management team (SMT) that meets regularly and can show evidence that it implements resolutions of the Board/SMT?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Not legally regis- tered; no formal mgmt. structure.	Organization is legally regis- tered; no formal mgmt. structure.	Legally regis- tered; Informal management structure exists. May have a Board/SMT, but inconsistently implements resolutions.	Legally regis- tered; Formal management structure exists. Board/SMT exists with gender representation, and Board resolutions are implemented.	N/A

RESOURCES:

Model contract clauses for responsible investment in agriculture. Shows legal provisions
that support implementation of best practices in agriculture by IISD. https://www.iisd.org/
toolkits/responsible-investment-agriculture/

Sustainability Commitment and Culture

- 1. How is your organization strategically working on sustainability internally? (e.g. mainstreaming sustainability across the different components of your organization's engagements, top management support, Regular training of employees)
- 2. Do you have an annual IGG workplan? Do you revisit your annual performance report from the previous year?
- 3. What are your organization's sustainability reporting procedures that ensure there is effective monitoring and adaptive management in this area?
- 4. Can you describe how your investment aligns with Government of Tanzania development goals/global commitments as well as SAGCOT's mission?
- 5. BONUS (+1) Is the investment working with or certified with an international voluntary standard?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Sustain- ability not being consid- ered within the orga- nization	Limited interest in sustainability within the orga- nization with no expressed com- mitment by top management.	No expressed commitment by top management to sustainability in the business, though may be im- plementing some limited sustainabil- ity-related actions. No strategic approach and not mainstreamed. Occasional em- ployee training opportunities. Limited to no effort to align with government / SAGCOT	expressed commit- ment to and supports	Top management has expressed commit- ment to and supports sustainability aspects. Focal point identified for sustainability issues. Clear strategic/work plan that integrates sustainability into the business. Annual IGG workplan. Monitoring system that revisits plans each year to adapt actions. Ca- pacity building plan in place for staff and even affiliates. Strong efforts to align with government / SAGCOT. BONUS +1 – using another global volun- tary standard.

Resources:

 International Finance Corporation (IFC) provide the Business case for Sustainability. https:// www.cbd.int/financial/mainstream/ifc-businesscase.pdf

Operational procedures

- 1. Does the investment have operational manuals and policies? Examples are listed below but not all may be relevant to the investment (Note that HR and diversity are covered in the Inclusivity section above)
 - Financial and Internal Controls Policy (including procurement)
 - Asset Register
 - Due diligence on sub-contractors and staff
 - Anti-bribery/corruption (including management of conflicts of interests)
 - Risk Management
 - Whistleblowing
 - Health and safety
 - Duty of Care/Security
 - Information technology/data security
 - Environmental Policy
 - Quality assurance

Please make a note of all manuals and polices in place

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No written man- uals or opera- tional polices	Some docu- mented financial and operational controls and an asset register, but not regularly maintained	At least a financial controls policy, asset register, health and safety policy are maintained and followed	All policies and manuals relevant to the invest- ment are in place and maintained including an environmental policy. Most staff are aware of policies relating to them though training may be infrequent	All policies and manuals relevant to the investment are in place and reg- ularly revisited and updated, including an environmental policy, and can evidence current best practice. Staff are trained regularly (at least annually) on those relevant to their role. Feed- back welcomed for constant improvement.

Compliance & Certification

- 1. Does the investment undertake annual audits as per the laws of Tanzania and file financial reports to the appropriate authorities?
- 2. Does the investment have relevant valid licenses and certificates to conduct its business?
- 3. Does the investment apply accepted accountancy reporting standards (e.g. IFRS)?
- 4. Does the investment have an accounting system?

Note any challenges or support needed in maintaining compliance

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Not compliant. No plan for compliance.	Not compliant, but plan being developed to meet compli- ance require- ments.	Compliant on audits, reporting and licenses/ certificates are in place or have been applied for, and basic ac- counting system	Fully compliant and with a suitable, backed up, comput- erized accounting system (desirable: following Interna- tional Financial Re- porting Standards)	N/A

Occupational Health and Safety

- 1. Does the investment have an occupational health and safety policy?
- 2. Does the investment have an occupational health and safety policy in line with OSHA requirements? (please provide certificate)
- 3. Are workers regularly trained on the occupational health and safety issues? (please provide certificate (s))
- 4. Do workers use appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)?
- 5. Does the investment have a First Aid Kit and Certified First Aider?
- 6. Are safety signs placed in areas with significant risk to health and safety of workers and the public?
- 7. Are workers trained in fire-fighting?
- 8. Do you have an emergency response plan?
- 9. Is there an area designated as an emergency assembly point?

	Ŭ
Al health and safety policy or procedures not clear about OSHA require- ments	and safety in line with A require- s. Regular gs of work- PE used. signage of n & safety Emergency nse plan in vith regular irst aid and hting skills n-site.

Environmental Management Principle (40 points)

Knowledge and skills on environmental management

- How knowledgeable are you and your organizational staff about environmental management? How do you support regenerative/restorative agriculture practices and Nature-based Solutions in your supply chain?
- 2. Does the investment have designated personnel for Environmental Management (EM) affairs?
- 3. Do you promote active learning about and build capacity on environmental management and environmentally-friendly practices within your organization? Are you engaged in peerto-peer exchanges and knowledge-sharing with other stakeholders? (please explain how).

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Do not have designated personnel for environmental management, nor regularly consult with such expertise or resources	Do not have des- ignated personnel for environmental management; occasionally seek out environmen- tal management resources.	Access to environmental management expertise; reg- ularly consult	Designated per- sonnel / resources for environmental management; capacity building opportunities	Designated personnel for environmental management; ca- pacity building on EM mainstreamed into staff development; learning culture in place including engagement in peer-to-peer net- works and other knowledge-shar- ing platforms.

Environmental Management Action

- 1. Does the investment have Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or Environment Audit (EA) Certificate? (please provide certificates). If NO, why and what are your plans to get them?
- 2. Have you identified if the investment directly or indirectly results in conversion of natural habitat (native/natural forests, grasslands, wetlands)?
- 3. Does your investment directly or indirectly disturb or impact land of any areas classified as highly sensitive (high conservation value, World Heritage sites, wildlife corridor, etc.)?
- 4. Do you implement the identified mitigating actions to minimize negative impacts? (please explain)

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Have not conducted EIA/ EA; No/few actions taken yet towards compli- ance.	Have not con- ducted EIA/EA but with plan to do so. Few actions taken yet towards compli- ance, but with plan to do so. No active avoidance of conversion of natural habitat.	EIA/EA conduct- ed or in process and certificate expected. Planning on how to address weak areas. Some awareness of natural habitat and ecologically sensitive areas. Actively try to avoid conversion of natural habitat	EIA/EA conducted, and certificate secured that includes consid- eration of natural habitat and eco- logically sensitive areas; Key actions are being taken to address environ- mental issues raised. Actively avoiding conver- sion of natural habitat, but any conversion has been mitigated, restored, and/or offset.	EIA/EA conducted, and certificate secured that includes consid- eration of natural habitat and eco- logically sensitive areas. environmental management plan under imple- mentation that considers climate smart agriculture, nature-based solutions, and/ or regenerative practices where feasible and ap- propriate. Any conversion has been mitigat- ed, restored, and/ or offset

Resources:

 Summary of Tanzania EIA requirements can be found here: https://www.elaw.org/eialaw/ tanzania; steps of the EIA procedure: https://www.nemc.or.tz/uploads/publications/sw-1576238362-EIA%20PROCEDURE.pdf

Safe use of Chemicals - Agro and Industrial

- 1. Do you have a policy/plan for handling, storage, transportation, use and disposal of agrochemicals and wastes? (please explain).
- 2. Is the investment aware of prohibited chemicals? Please explain.
- 3. Are your associated outgrowers/small suppliers aware of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) for each crop with respect to use of chemicals? (please explain)

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No awareness of prohibited chemicals/ agro-chemicals;	Some awareness of prohibited chemicals/ agro-chemicals; no actions being taken	Awareness of pro- hibited chemicals/ agro-chemicals; some actions be- ing taken, but no systematic policy or plan in place.	Policy/plan exists for handling, stor- ing, transporting, use and disposal of agro-chemi- cals and wastes; implementation being rolled out. Company staff and associated out-growers in process of build- ing capacity on use of chemicals.	Policy/plan in place for han- dling, storing, transporting, use and disposal of agro-chemicals and wastes; implementation mainstreamed into organization's business practic- es; monitoring and continual learning system in place for organiza- tion and associ- ated outgrowers/ suppliers.

Resources:

- ILO. Safety and health in the use of agrochemicals. <u>https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/</u> groups/public/@ed_protect/@protrav/@safework/documents/instructionalmaterial/ wcms_110196.pdf
- Training Manual for Organic Agriculture. FAO <u>https://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/nr/</u> sustainability_pathways/docs/Compilation_techniques_organic_agriculture_rev.pdf

Waste management

- 1. Do you have a waste management plan that addresses animal (including manure), crop waste, toxic agricultural waste, etc.?
- 2. Are staff aware of, understand and implement the plan?
- 3. How do you store waste?
- 4. How do you dispose waste?

- 5. Do you reduce/minimize waste? How?
- 6. Do you re-use waste? How?
- 7. Do you recycle waste? How?
- 8. Do you actively seek out partners who may be available for exchange of by-products?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No waste man- agement plan in place. No special consideration of waste by-prod- ucts.	No waste man- agement plan in place, or in early development	Waste man- agement plan developed but not yet being implemented. Some scattered actions.	Waste man- agement plan in place that addresses collec- tion, treatment, storage, use/ re-use with intention to re- duce, re-use, and recycle waste/ by-products. Implementation in process.	Waste manage- ment plan in place and being implemented that systemati- cally addresses collection, treat- ment, storage, use/re-use of waste. Active in reducing, reusing and re- cycling of waste/ by-products. Actively seeking related partners. Learning systems in place with adaptive man- agement.

Conservation of water Resources and Sources

- What is your level of awareness of water issues and water risk within the water basin and at company operational levels? (i.e., physical, regulatory, and reputational water challenges including water scarcity, poorly implemented water policy, or local community conflict). What is your level of understanding about the potential impact of your investment on other water users, particularly downstream investments and users?
- 2. Are policies, practices in place to address these issues/risks?
- 3. Does the investment have and implement strategies to enhance efficient water use? Briefly explain. E.g., drip irrigation, crop rotation, choice of varieties,
- 4. Have water sources been identified, and what protection measures are being taken for them?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Very limited awareness of water issues/ risk though may experience challenges of drought or flood- ing. Not engaging with other stakeholders in the catchment or along the value chain on water issues. No knowl- edge of water sources	Limited aware- ness of water issues/risk. No or very little efficient water use strategies. Limited engage- ment with other stakeholders in the catchment or along the value chain on water issues. Knowledge of water sources, but no actions to protect them	No systemat- ic analysis of water issues/risk, though there is some under- standing of water challenges. Some strategies to enhance efficient water use. Some engagement with other stakehold- ers on water is- sues. Knowledge of water sources; implementing limited actions to protect them.	Awareness of water issues/ risks, and some policies/prac- tices in place to address them, in- cluding efficient water use. Some engagement with multi-stake- holders at a catchment/basin level; Knowledge of water sources as well as key suppliers and some level of engagement on protection of water sources and working with key suppliers on water issues.	Good awareness of water issues and risks and even how they may change under different climate scenar- ios. Policies/ practices in place to address key risks. Monitoring level of water use. Engagement with multi-stake- holders at a catchment/basin level and broader industry collab- oration level. Engagement with key suppliers on water issues and incentivizing of best practice water manage- ment

Resources:

- Good explanation of water risk can be found here: <u>https://www.unpri.org/environmental-issues/what-is-agricultural-supply-chain-water-risk/2795.article;</u> WWF water risk filter: <u>https://riskfilter.org/water/home.</u>
- Investor toolkit: <u>https://www.ceres.org/resources/toolkits/investor-water-toolkit?utm_medium=paid&utm_source=google-ad-grant&utm_campaign=water_evergreen_keywords&utm_source=google-ad-grant
 </u>

Pollution Control - water, air, soil and noise

- 1. What measures are in place to control environmental pollution?
- 2. How are you ensuring that pest management systems do not have harmful impact on environment and human life?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No measures in place to control environmental pollution. No consideration of how to reduce harmful impacts of pest manage- ment.	No or very limit- ed measures in place to control environmental pollution. Not all categories considered (i.e., surface/ groundwater, soil, air, noise) considered. Limited (thinking but little action) consideration of how to reduce harmful impacts of pest manage- ment.	Some measures in place to con- trol pollution. All categories con- sidered/assessed though all may not be addressed (i.e., surface/ groundwater, soil, air, noise). Some consider- ation and action of how to reduce harmful impacts of pest manage- ment.	Measures active- ly taken to con- trol pollution (in- cluding surface/ groundwater, soil, air, noise), Pesticides, fertil- izers, and other toxic chemicals. Pest manage- ment system. Non-synthetic pest manage- ment techniques explored in an Integrated Pest Management system. Integrat- ed Nutrient Man- agement also being explored. Some knowledge exchanges.	Comprehen- sive measures actively taken to control pollution (surface/ ground- water, soil, air, noise); including pesticides, fertil- izers, and other toxic chem- icals; Strong engagement in knowledge development and sharing of locally-relevant and effective methods of Inte- grated Pest Man- agement (IPM) and Integrated Nutrient Man- agement (INM). Engagement in appropriate policy dialogues, where possible.

Adoption and Application of environmentally-friendly technologies

- 1. **Inputs:** Which environmentally-friendly agricultural inputs do you use (e.g., new cultivars, integrated pest management, etc.)?
- 2. **Soil Health Management:** How do you manage soil health? Is your investment working to prevent soil erosion and improve soil health?
- 3. Information and Communication Technology (ICT): Are you employing ICT solutions (e.g., precision agriculture)? Or other technologies? Please describe.

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No adoption or application of new technolo- gies	Willingness, but very limited awareness and adoption or ap- plication to date of new technol- ogies	Some adoption and application of new tech- nologies across at least one category (e.g., in- puts, soil health management, ICT, or other)	Good adoption and application of new technol- ogies across 2 or more categories	Adoption of new technologies in a diverse variety of contexts along the value chain and land- scape. Actively considering risk management and knowledge sharing. Incentivizing adoption of these technolo- gies within the supply chain

Resources:

 The Sustainable Agriculture Transition. Technology options for low- and middle-income countries. July 2020. <u>International</u> Institute of Sustainable Development. Livia Bizikova, Sara Brewin, Richard Bridle, Tara Laan, Sophia Murphy, Lourdes Sanchez and Carin Smaller. <u>https://www.iisd.org/publications/sustainable-agriculture-transition-technology</u>.

Climate Adaptation and Mitigation

1. Climate Change

- i. How well do you understand climate change potential negative impacts (risks) to and sensitivity (vulnerability) of your investment?
- ii. Do you have access to and use reliable weather/climate information?

2. Climate Adaptation

- iii. How are you managing negative climate impacts? What actions have you done to increase the ability of your investment to cope with negative impacts of changes in climate (e.g., droughts/floods/temperature rise)? Are you aware of mechanisms to reduce shocks such as crop insurance?
- iv. Are you engaging with other stakeholders on climate issues such as participating in landscape/regional initiatives or platforms? (mention)

3. Climate Mitigation

- v. Do you have a strategy to reduce your GHG emissions impact?
- vi. What source of energy do you mostly use? And how do you conserve energy? How have you considered or explored low carbon energy/transport options, including renewable energy options?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No awareness of climate change risks or vulner- abilities of the investment. No risk manage- ment actions to increase the investment's ability to cope with negative changes. No engagement with other stakehold- ers on climate issues. No actions to reduce GHG emissions.	Low understand- ing of climate change risks and vulnerabilities of the investment. Actions primarily reactive to cli- mate events. No engagement with other stakehold- ers on climate issues. No actions to reduce GHG emissions	Some under- standing of climate change risks and vul- nerabilities of the investment. Actions primar- ily reactive and only respond to negative climate events, though some limited preparation actions may be occurring (e.g., selection of tol- erant seeds). Limited actions to reduce GHG emissions	Basic under- standing of climate change risks and vulner- abilities of the investment. Investment implementing at least several risk management actions that help anticipate, prepare for, and respond to negative climate events. Engagement with other stakehold- ers on climate issues. Consideration and some actions to reduce GHG emissions	Good under- standing of climate change risks and vulner- abilities of the investment. Risk manage- ment plan in place and being implemented that enables anticipation, preparation for, and response to negative climate events. More extensive engagement with other stakehold- ers on climate issues, including multi-stakehold- er platforms and possible initiatives. Active involvement with vulnerable groups to help build their resil- ience too. Plan in place to reduce GHG emissions. Ac- tions taken.

Resources:

- FAO. 2017. Climate smart agriculture sourcebook. <u>https://www.fao.org/3/i7994e/ i7994e.pdf</u>. Module on crop production and climate change: https://www.fao.org/ climate-smart-agriculture-sourcebook/production-resources/module-b1-crops/b1overview/en/?type=111
- Climate adaptation good practice checklist: <u>https://careclimatechange.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Adaptation-Good-Practice-Checklist.pdf</u>
- Janie Rioux, E Laval, K Karttunen, et al. Climate Smart Agriculture Guidelines for the United Republic of Tanzania. 2017. Food and Agriculture Organization. <u>https://www. fao.org/3/i7157e/i7157e.pdf</u>

Nature-based Solutions

NbS are meant to address societal challenges, improving ecosystem integrity and connectivity that lead to positive human well-being outcomes such as improving food security, reducing malnutrition, reducing poverty, improving rural development, boosting animal/human health, increasing resilience. There are four relevant scales: field, farm, landscape, country/region. See Figure 1 below for examples.



1. What is your understanding of Nature-based Solutions (NbS), and have you considered NbS in your investment? What types of NbS are you implementing?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Very weak to no knowledge of how nature's benefits can address societal challenges. No relevant actions	Weak knowledge of how nature's benefits can address societal challenges, though some consciousness exists; initial actions/practices identified that may link to posi- tive human-well- being though no/ limited imple- mentation	Some knowledge of how nature's benefits can address societal challenges; actions/practices identified, and plan developed, though no/ limited links to positive human well-being outcomes (e.g., improving eco- system integrity and connectivity, increasing cli- mate resilience, etc). limited implementation	Good knowledge of how nature's benefits can address societal challenges in some key rele- vant respects; actions/practices identified that link to positive human well-be- ing outcomes (e.g., improv- ing ecosystem integrity and connectivity, in- creasing climate resilience, etc). Moderate imple- mentation	Good knowledge of how nature's benefits can address societal challenges; actions/practices identified, and plan developed that clearly links to positive human well-be- ing outcomes that can be monitored (e.g., improving eco- system integrity and connectivity, increasing cli- mate resilience, etc). Under- standing extends to smallholders who work with the investment. High level of implementation and engagement with other key stakeholders.

RESOURCES:

- Nature Based Solutions IUCN Agricultural guidance for the Global Standard for NBS (in development) – voluntary guidelines; elaborates on 8 criteria for NbS and application in the agricultural sector.
- TNC and FAO. 2021. Nature-based Solutions in agriculture: Sustainable management and conservation of land, water, and biodiversity. <u>https://www.fao.org/3/cb3140en/cb3140en.</u>
 <u>pdf</u> this report provides a literature review of NbS in agriculture especially that support co-benefits (not just tradeoffs)

Input Suppliers

If you are an input supplier, please answer the following question instead of the 'Environmental Management Action' question above, and score accordingly.

- 1. Are you actively promoting sustainable input supply systems— (e.g., advocating for/aligning with appropriate policy and regulatory frameworks and financing models, synergies/ partnerships with multistakeholder initiatives)
- 2. How well do you know the environmental conditions of your clients (e.g., soil health, water availability)?
- 3. What types of trainings and follow-up are you providing for proper use of your products?
- 4. Do you have special strategies to support vulnerable populations to access appropriate inputs?

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
No consideration of sustainable input supply systems. No knowledge of client farm conditions. No follow up.	Some awareness of sustainable input supply systems. Minimal knowl- edge of client farm conditions. Ask farmer about needs. Very minimal client farmers trainings and follow-up on proper use.	Some awareness of sustainable input supply systems. Some knowledge of client farm conditions. No data on individ- ual farms but some knowledge of the landscape conditions. Minimal trainings and follow-up on proper use.	Awareness of sustainable input supply systems. Good knowledge of client farm conditions that is local data-driven. Support client farmers with trainings and follow-up on proper use.	Implementing sustainable input supply system approach, en- gaging in related policy/regula- tion/finance issues. Good knowledge of client farm conditions that is local data-driv- en. Extensive support for client farmers with trainings and fol- low-up on proper use. Designated extension per- sonnel. Strategy to support vul- nerable popula- tions.

ANNEX

Forms and Scoring

1. Comments

General Comments on the assessment:
Specific suggestions for review of the tool/ checklist
Specific suggestions for review of the tool/ checklist Please indicate below any suggestions for review of the tool or the toolkit. Please be as clear as
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$
$\label{eq:please} Please \ indicate \ below \ any \ suggestions \ for \ review \ of \ the \ tool \ or \ the \ toolkit. \ Please \ be \ as \ clear \ as$

2. Scoring of the Assessment

Note: Use excel for actual scores

Section	Compliance and Good Practice Criteria	Points/ Weight
	INCLUSIVITY/SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY PRINCIPLE	
	Labour and employment policy	6
	Gender and Non-discrimination	4
	Social linkage and conflict management	6
	Support for small-scale producers contracted (engaged) by the Investment	4
	Land tenure	6
	Shared value investments and support for local communities	4
	SUBTOTAL	30
	BUSINESS SUSTAINABILITY PRINCIPLE	
	Good governance	4
	Sustainability Commitment and Culture	5
	Operational Procedures	6
	Compliance & Certification	10
	Occupational Health and Safety	5
	SUBTOTAL	30
	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLE	
	Knowledge and skills on environmental management	6
	Environmental Management Action	10
	Safe use of Chemicals - Agro and Industrial	2
	Waste management	4
	Conservation and water resources/ sources	4
	Pollution control - water, air, soil and noise	3
	Adoption and Application of environmentally-friendly technol- ogies	3
	Climate Adaptation and Mitigation	6
	Nature Based Solutions	2
	SUBTOTAL	40

Π

Section	Compliance criteria	Total Points	TOTAL Point Scored	Score (%)
1	Inclusivity and Social Sustainability Principle	30		
2	Business Sustainability Principle	30		
3	Environmental Sustainability Principle	40		
Total score		100		

Key table

Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Exceeding
Below 30%	(31-50%)	(51-70%)	(71-90%)	(91-100%)

